



PERALTA COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

District Academic Senate

District Academic Senate Meeting MINUTES October 3, 2023 – 2:30PM to 4:30PM

Zoom Link: https://cccconfer.zoom.us/j/96574845924





VOTING – List full name below, identify which constituency group each individual represents Present: (place X in box adjacent to name when present)

X	, ,	Χ	Leslie Blackie, Laney Senate President, DAS Vice-President	X	,
	President		,		Treasurer
X	Jennifer Fowler, COA Senate	Χ	Matthew Freeman, BCC Senate	Х	Thomas Renbarger, Merritt Senate
	President		President		President
X	Carla Pegues, COA Senate Vice-	Χ	Dan Lawson, Merritt Senate Vice-	Χ	Nate Failing, Laney Senate Vice-
	President (via Zoom)		President		President
Χ	Gabriel Martinez, BCC Senate	Χ	Joseph Bielanski, BCC DAS	Χ	Chris Weidenbach, Laney DAS
	Vice President		Representative		Representative, DAS Recording
			·		Secretary
X	Lowell Bennett, Merritt DAS	Χ	Jayne Smithson, COA DAS		
	Representative		Representative		

List full name below, identify which constituency group each individual represents (place X in box **Guests:** adjacent to name when present)

X	Tina Vasconcellos (Assoc Vice- Chancellor of Academic Affairs)	Х	Stephanie Droker (Deputy vice- Chancellor) (via Zoom)	X	Donald Moore, Anthropology faculty, Laney College – and past- President of DAS
X	Adrienne Oliver	Х	Ngiem Thai	Х	Heather Sisneros, Kinesiology faculty and CIPD co-chair

AGENDA ITEM	DISCUSSION	FOLLOW UP ACTION
1. Call to order: Agenda review – (5 minutes)		Motion to approve the agenda.
		Moved by: Joseph B.
		Seconded by: Nate F.
		Motion Passed unanimously
2. Approval of minutes from Sept. 19 th meeting – (5 minutes)		Motion to approve minutes from Sept 19.
		Moved by Andrew P.
		Seconded by Jenn F.
		Motion Passed
3. Public Comment – (3 minutes)	No one raised any public comments.	
4. For Action and/or Consideration – (40 minutes)		
a. Meeting length, modality,	Matthew suggests reducing meeting times to 90	Motion to set meeting times at
& minutes/recording	minutes in the interest of working more efficiently.	two hours, maintain 'high-flex' /
(Goldstein)		hybrid accessibility, not record
(dolustelli)	Tom suggests the possibility of working with a	the meetings, and .
	'consent calendar' of regular items like agenda and	NA II
	minutes approvals, similar to the state senate	Moved by:
	plenaries.	Seconded by: Motion Passed
	Matthew also suggests maintaining the 'hi-flex'	Widtion Fassed
	format for meetings, despite the requirement of an	
	eight-person in-person quorum.	
	And Matthew expressed his interest in not recording	
	DAS meetings.	
	Leslie mentioned the pragmatic value of recording	
	for the sake of the person recording meeting	
	minutes, but would favor any recordings being kept	
	private with minutes published as the only publicly	
	available record of the meetings.	

		1
	Chris agreed with the arguments for not recording. Dan also agreed that he doesn't favor recording the meetings. Discussion about who sets up the A/V systems for high-flex ensued, with Matthew stating that he would follow up	
b. Budget planning calendar (Renbarger)	The Senate considered a set of budget planning process recommendations. Tom R. explained the current process and calendar: PBC, PGC, and others get a preliminary budget proposal each year in January. These committees do not see the actual proposed budget for subsequent years until mid- or late May each year. October's PBC meeting will take up the budget planning process. Matt G. asked if starting with a 'rollover' of previous years' budgets could be a starting point in November. Joseph asserted that everyone in the District would benefit from having a clear calendar, and actually sticking to it. Donald pressed for greater clarity about the PGC and PBC's roles – including whether their	Motion to. Moved by: Seconded by: Motion Passed
	approvals are required Tom responded to this point with reference to the 10+1 #10, planning and budgeting. He also suggests asking local college budgeting committees what they need in order to have more meaningful engagement.	

Andrew said we can urge DAC to plan earlier, and then to have some room for adjustments.

Matt G mentioned VC Jones' claim that Town

Halls have been available for people to provide input, and Matt asserted that those forums are NOT part of participatory governance.

Tina shared her concerns about the shift to Colleges

Chris stated that it seems obvious to rely upon dept. Chairs, Counseling, A&R staff, and others who are tracking enrollment demand, and when those voices suggest that more funding needs to be directed to class offerings, that input must be assumed to be honest and accurate, and the funding should flow accurately.

Leslie spoke about the "assumptions" made by the VC of Finance, and suggested that those assumptions might need to be adjusted or challenged, and there has been little or no opportunity to do so.

Joseph:

Donald Moore reminded that as we run out of time in the Fall, we will not have adequate time in the Spring to follow through.

Tina shared that the newly named ESC will meet on Friday Oct. 13, where a first draft of 24-25

budget will be shared. Leslie expressed that the 'old model' of basing next year's budget on this year's FTEF as well as an average of the previous 3-5 years is not the best idea, especially as enrollment has struggled during the past several years due to the COVID pandemic. Jayne S. asked if her understanding of the process was correct, and was assured that it was. Andrew mentioned how 'productivity' drives enrollment down. Chris again stressed proposed that the DAS formulate a formal proposal for improvements. Matt G. agreed and shared that Tom R will email everyone regarding the process and calendar, and ask for input. Dan mentioned that he perceives a strategy by the VC of Finance and others at DAC to delay budgeting processes to a point at which other 'constituents' run out of time to have any input or 'appeal'. Matt G affirmed that it is DAS purview to be included in the process of developing

	Andrew mentioned that May 26 th 2023 was when the current year's (23-24) budget was updated to reflect the 'May Revise' too late for participatory governance committees to take any action.	
5. Standing Items – (15 Minutes)		
a. Staff Development Officer Report, Inger Stark		
b. Treasurer's Report, Andrew Park		
c. CE Liaison Report		
d. DE Liaison Report, Adrienne Oliver		
e. BOT ¹ BP ⁴ AP ² Review/ recommendation/updates /proposed revision		
f. CIPD, Heather Sisneros	Heather reported that Minimum Qualifications revisions are being updated by many chairs.	
	TV mentions that we are moving forward with becoming 'Teaching Colleges' offering courses CCC students need who are attending other CCC's.	
	TV is also working with a 'post-secondary' group on ways to better serve young people in the East Bay.	
6. For Discussion and/or Information – (40 minutes)		
 a. IDC/COO Stephanie Droker & AVC of Ed. Services Tina Vasconcellos 	TV shared a 'definition' of her position's role and the role of Deputy Chancellor Stephanie Droker. She	
b. FTEF survey update	18 responses so far – 17 saying "yes" that they could fill	

(Weidenbach)	more classes if they had more funding for Spring 2024. The responses come to a total of roughly 9 FTEF.	
c. Enrollment Management ad hoc Advisory Committee update (Bennett, Park)	Meeting on Oct. 11.	
d. Fall Plenary	Fall plenary: virtual attendance registration costs \$385.	
7. New Business/ Announcements	Tom mentioned that the ASCCC meeting will take place on Sat. Oct. 28 at Merritt College. Tom asked for a discussion about Non-fiduciary ICC's be added to the next DAS meeting agenda.	
a. Next Meeting	Oct 17, 2023	
8. Adjournment		Motion to adjourn the meeting. Moved by: Seconded by: Motion Passed

Abbreviations:

- ❖ DAS District Academic Senate
- PCCD Peralta Community College District
- ❖ BOT Board of Trustees
- DAC District Administrative Center
- ❖ AP Administrative Procedure
- ❖ BP Board Policy

Title 5 §53200 DEFINITIONS [Source: http://www.asccc.org/communities/local-senates/handbook/partl. Retrieved 10/28/11.]

Academic Senate means an organization whose primary function is to make recommendations with respect to academic and professional matters.

Academic and Professional matters means the following policy development matters:

- 1. Curriculum, including establishing prerequisites.
- 2. Degree and certificate requirements.

- 3. Grading policies.
- 4. Educational program development.
- 5. Standards or policies regarding student preparation and success.
- 6. College governance structures, as related to faculty roles.
- 7. Faculty roles and involvement in accreditation processes.
- 8. Policies for faculty professional development activities.
- 9. Processes for program review.
- 10. Processes for institutional planning and budget development.
- 11. Other academic and professional matters as mutually agreed upon.

Consult collegially means that the district governing board shall develop policies on academic and professional matters through either or both of the following:

- 1. Rely primarily upon the advice and judgment of the academic senate, OR
- 2. The governing board, or its designees, and the academic senate shall reach mutual agreement by written resolution, regulation, or policy of the governing board effectuating such recommendations.
- § (SECTION) 53203 POWERS
- A) The governing board shall adopt policies for the appropriate delegation of authority and responsibility to its college academic senate.
- B) In adopting the policies described in section (a), the governing board or designees, shall consult collegially with the academic senate.
- C) While consulting collegially, the academic senate shall retain the right to meet with or appear before the governing board with respect to its views and recommendations. In addition, after consultation with the administration, the academic senate may present its recommendations to the governing board.
- D) The governing board shall adopt procedures for responding to recommendations of the academic senate that incorporate the following:
- 1. When the board elects to rely primarily upon the advice and judgment of the academic senate, the recommendation of the senate will normally be accepted, and only in exceptional circumstances and for compelling reasons will the recommendations not be accepted.