

# BEST PRACTICES IN ASSESSMENT – DEPARTMENT LEVEL

## What are the elements that ensure excellent assessment and a smooth program review process?

This document reviews the overall, big picture departmental process around assessment. Our "[Getting It Done](#)" document is an excellent companion for handling the details of assessment within your department.

### Acronyms:

CPR – Comprehensive Program Review, completed every 4 years

APR – Annual Program Review, completed every year between CPRs

SLOs – Course level outcomes

PLOs – Program level outcomes (degrees and certificates)

ILOs – Institutional learning outcomes

*This document refers to questions that must be addressed in a department's CPR. The Assessment Coordinators provide support for CPR via [video trainings](#) as well as one-on-one meetings with department chairs in their CPR year.*

### • Overall department-level planning [**CPR Q1, Planning**]

1. Create plan in CPR year
  - A. Access the most recent planning document [here](#)
  - B. Ensure the completed plan is accessible to all department faculty (OneDrive, Dropbox, etc.)
  - C. Read and follow the Instructions and Guidelines tab
  - D. Fill in the SLO tab with the **next** semester/year each outcome will be assessed
  - E. Feel free to add columns to the plan as needed, and add any helpful details (or simply use the notes column)
  - F. Notes column should be used to indicate which courses/SLOs:
    - are not offered and may be deactivated
    - are part of multidisciplinary programs and require coordination with other departments [**CPR Q5**]
    - are mapped to PLOs, and should therefore be prioritized
    - will incorporate ILO assessment [**CPR Q6**]
      - a. See Canvas shell "ILO Resources" or [webpage](#) for details
      - b. All departments must contribute to ILO assessment on a regular basis (**accreditation requirement**)
      - c. ILO assessment is done via the common rubrics and/or surveys
        - i. Aim to use surveys a minimum of once/semester
        - ii. Aim to use common rubrics a minimum of once/year
    - will include disaggregation of data in the assessment
      - a. Refers to comparisons of sections taught in different ways
      - b. Details are up to the department, choose well! (What do you need to know? Are faculty concerned about face-to-face vs. online learning? Dual enrollment learning? Day vs. evening sections?)
      - c. All departments must do some comparisons on a regular basis (**accreditation requirement**)
      - d. Refer to Level 2 training on the [webpage](#)
  - G. Fill in the PLO tab with the **next** semester/year each outcome will be assessed
    - Plan so that a combination of your recent assessments plus your future plan will allow you to assess your programs within 2 years (by year 2 of the next 4-year program review cycle)
    - All departments with degrees and certificates must publish the final program assessment on the Learning Assessment Committee's webpage (**accreditation requirement**)
    - Ensure the SLO plan tab prioritizes assessment of SLOs mapped to PLOs (refer to Find a Program and Outcome Mapping on the [webpage](#))
2. Follow up on plan in APR years – update notes column and address any changes made to the plan

## BEST PRACTICES IN ASSESSMENT – DEPARTMENT LEVEL

What are the elements that ensure excellent assessment and a smooth program review process?

- **Essential meetings [CPR Q2, Process]**

1. If the department is new to assessment, discuss how to organize, for example:
  - A. Watch [training](#) videos together and plan for data entry
    - Level 1 and Best Practices training are essential for all faculty
    - Additional training used as needed (Level 2, ILO, etc.)
    - Each department is responsible for its own data entry
    - Data may be entered by individual faculty or pooled for a chosen lead to enter into curriQunet Meta
  - B. Choose a lead for each course to help organize (may establish dedicated leads or rotate leads)
2. Aim for 1-2 meetings per semester where department assessment is discussed broadly
  - A. Assessment coordinators recommend a department meeting at the beginning of the semester to discuss planning, and again at the end of the semester to discuss results
  - B. What has the department learned from recent assessments of SLOs? **[CPR Q3]**
  - C. What has the department learned from recent assessments of PLOs? **[CPR Q4]**
  - D. Do assessment results demonstrate a need to request resources? **[CPR Resource Requests]**
  - E. Will there be any curriculum modifications as a result of assessment?
    - May include changes to the Course Outline of Record (COR)
    - May include changes to details such as assignments, without a need to update the COR
    - Include this information in subsequent program reviews
  - F. Discuss how the department will incorporate best practices into individual assessments
  - G. Planning and discussing specific assessments
    - Each faculty member may take part in a few meetings each semester/year with other faculty teaching the same or similar courses
    - Assessment coordinators recommend faculty groups meet at the beginning of the semester to plan, and again at the end to discuss and reflect
    - This is a deeper level of planning and includes faculty collaborating on assessment methods, rubrics, and post-assessment discussions sharing results, brainstorming action plans, etc.
    - Discuss implementing action plans, then reassess and reflect
    - Want a list of steps? Check out the "[Getting It Done](#)" document

- **Long-term success with assessment requires making it a high department priority**

1. When assessment is a routine part of the faculty experience, it will best serve the purpose of benefiting student learning
  - A. Center assessment efforts on what's best for students – focus on continuous improvement
  - B. We are also accountable to the accrediting commission
2. When interviewing new faculty, both p/t and f/t, ask questions about their experience with assessment, and make it clear that outcomes assessment is part of routine faculty duties
  - A. Ask the applicant to describe their experience with assessment
  - B. Give the applicant an SLO that broadly applies to department classes, and ask how they would assess it
  - C. Support new faculty by engaging them in the assessment process